

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uxpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,618	03/08/2001	Yevgeniy Eugene Shteyn	US018028	7682
75	90 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
Corporate Pate	ent Counsel		MANNIN	G, JOHN
U.S. Philips Cor	rporation			
580 White Plain			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY	10591		2614	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/24/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ll
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100
	09/802,618	SHTEYN, YEVGEN	VIY EUGENE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Manning	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence add	iress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on OE	<u> 8 March 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	, ,	` '	• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	.g., p,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	received.	
AMaaharaad(a)			
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (FTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08) 5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO	-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o) [] Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/802,618

Art Unit: 2614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 10-16, 18-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Finseth et al. (US Pat No 6,742,184).

In regard to claim 1, the claimed limitation of a "data processing system for managing electronic content information under control of data representative of at least one activity scheduled in a user's calendar" is met by Figure 5. "FIG. 5 shows program guide screen 100A, which is displayed on television 66. The program guide of the present invention may alternatively be displayed on other types of display devices, such as on a liquid crystal display (LCD) panel. When a user presses the "guide" button on remote control 86, program guide screen 100A is displayed to a user. Program guide screen 100A includes a calendar image 102, date and time indicator 110, guide title 112, channel list 114, and program list 116 having program representations separate from and adjacent to the calendar image 102. Calendar 102 includes masks 104A-104B, highlight bar 106, and data range indicator 108. Using arrow keys on remote control 86 to move a highlight bar through program list 116, a user is able to highlight

and then select a particular program for viewing or to obtain additional information" (Col 13, Lines 14-28). The scheduled activity is the user watching a particular television program.

In regard to claim 2, Finseth et al. incorporates Application Ser. No. 09/535,235 entitled (Now US Pat No 6,754,906) "CATEGORICAL ELECTRONIC PROGRAM GUIDE," filed on same date herewith, by Philip E. Hsiao, Jeffrey A. Brown, and Craig A. Finseth, which meets the claimed limitation of "a control output for control of a data recording device for recording the electronic content." "Command style user-links are the second main type of user-links 122. These links cause something to happen other than the transferal of program guide information. For example, the user is able to instruct the receiver 64 (see FIG. 3) to tune to the correct channel of transmission, to activate a recording device 68, or to allow the user to place a reminder of an upcoming program. If a user selects automatic recording for an entry, receiver 64 instructs. recording device 68 to start recording the desired program at the start of the program, and causes recording device 68 to end recording when the desired program is over. If a reminder is set, the receiver 64 would indicate to the user when the selected upcoming television program was being transmitted. Although these user-links 122 can be displayed as part of the invention categorical electronic program guide 90, the command style user-link can also be listed as choices in an operating menu 124 (shown in FIG. 9). The operating menu 124 is displayed by selecting a "menu" button on remote control 86" (Col 19, Lines 66-67; Col 20, Lines 1-17).

Claim 3 is met by that discussed for claim 1.

In regard to claim 4, the claimed limitation of "having an input for receipt of the data representative of the activity" is met by Figure 4. "When a user enters one of descriptions 92A-92D with remote control 86, a user identification signal is sent to receiver 64. Receiver 64 receives the user identification signal, identifies the user and stores attributes associated with that user's channel and program selections in a user-specific sub-history within a selection history table stored in memory 78" (Col 12, Lines 43-48).

In regard to claim 4, the claimed limitation of "selecting specific content information based on a profile of the user" is met by Figure 4. "The selection history table is initially empty when receiver 64 is first purchased. Each time a user makes a program selection, CPU 74 adds the attributes for the selected program to the selection history table and links the attributes to the current user" (Col 12, Lines 60-64).

In regard to claim 6, it is noted that the examiner interprets the claim to be written in the alternative, such that the claim may be met by either "a preference regarding an attribute of the content information" or "a relative priority of the activity". The reference discloses "a preference regarding an attribute of the content information". "Attributes include information such as category descriptors that identify the type and category of program, credits information that identify the names and roles of those involved in the production of the program, and key words and phrases in the description of the program. Attributes also include indicators that the program is one of a particular series or that the program is one of a group of associated programs. For example, each episode of Star Trek, The Next Generation will have the same series indicator. The Star

Trek movies, and various Star Trek series will all have the same group indicator, even if they are not part of one particular series" (Col 12, Lines 48-59).

Claim 7 is met by that discussed above for claim 2.

In regard to claim 8, the claimed limitation of "creating a GUI for presenting an overview of the specific content information available in a first time slot other than a second time slot associated with the scheduled activity" is met by Figure 14. Figure 14 show multiple time slots of the specific content, which also may be a scheduled activity.

In regard to claim 10, the claimed limitation of a "processing of electronic content information for an end- user, the method comprising controlling the processing based on availability of a time slot in a calendar of the end-user" is met by Figure 5. "FIG. 5 shows program guide screen 100A, which is displayed on television 66. The program guide of the present invention may alternatively be displayed on other types of display devices, such as on a liquid crystal display (LCD) panel. When a user presses the "guide" button on remote control 86, program guide screen 100A is displayed to a user. Program guide screen 100A includes a calendar image 102, date and time indicator 110, guide title 112, channel list 114, and program list 116 having program representations separate from and adjacent to the calendar image 102. Calendar 102 includes masks 104A-104B, highlight bar 106, and data range indicator 108. Using arrow keys on remote control 86 to move a highlight bar through program list 116, a user is able to highlight and then select a particular program for viewing or to obtain additional information" (Col 13, Lines 14-28). The scheduled activity is the user watching a particular television program.

In regard to claim 11, Finseth et al. incorporates Application Ser. No. 09/535,235 entitled (Now US Pat No 6,754,906) "CATEGORICAL ELECTRONIC PROGRAM GUIDE," filed on same date herewith, by Philip E. Hsiao, Jeffrey A. Brown, and Craig A. Finseth, which meets the claimed limitation of "the processing comprises recording the electronic content." "Command style user-links are the second main type of user-links 122. These links cause something to happen other than the transferal of program guide information. For example, the user is able to instruct the receiver 64 (see FIG. 3) to tune to the correct channel of transmission, to activate a recording device 68, or to allow the user to place a reminder of an upcoming program. If a user selects automatic recording for an entry, receiver 64 instructs recording device 68 to start recording the desired program at the start of the program, and causes recording device 68 to end recording when the desired program is over. If a reminder is set, the receiver 64 would indicate to the user when the selected upcoming television program was being transmitted. Although these user-links 122 can be displayed as part of the invention categorical electronic program guide 90, the command style user-link can also be listed as choices in an operating menu 124 (shown in FIG. 9). The operating menu 124 is displayed by selecting a "menu" button on remote control 86" (Col 19, Lines 66-67; Col 20, Lines 1-17).

Claim 12 is met by that discussed above form claim 11.

In regard to claim 13, the availability of the time slot is shown in Figure 14. The availability of the time slot is represented by the specific content.

In regard to claim 14, the claimed limitation of "selecting specific content information based on a profile of the user" is met by Figure 4. "The selection history table is initially empty when receiver 64 is first purchased. Each time a user makes a program selection, CPU 74 adds the attributes for the selected program to the selection history table and links the attributes to the current user" (Col 12, Lines 60-64).

In regard to claim 15, it is noted that the examiner interprets the claim to be written in the alternative, such that the claim may be met by either "a preference regarding an attribute of the content information" or "a relative priority of the activity".

The reference discloses "a preference regarding an attribute of the content information". "Attributes include information such as category descriptors that identify the type and category of program, credits information that identify the names and roles of those involved in the production of the program, and key words and phrases in the description of the program. Attributes also include indicators that the program is one of a particular series or that the program is one of a group of associated programs. For example, each episode of Star Trek, The Next Generation will have the same series indicator. The Star Trek movies, and various Star Trek series will all have the same group indicator, even if they are not part of one particular series" (Col 12, Lines 48-59).

In regard to claim 16, the claimed limitation of "creating a GUI for presenting an overview of the specific content information available in a first time slot other than a second time slot associated with the scheduled activity" is met by Figure 14. Figure 14 show multiple time slots of the specific content, which also may be a scheduled activity.

In regard to claim 18, the claimed limitation of a "Software for enabling an enduser to manage a processing of electronic content information, the software controlling the processing based on data representative of a calendar of the end-user." is met by Figure 5. "FIG. 5 shows program guide screen 100A, which is displayed on television 66. The program guide of the present invention may alternatively be displayed on other types of display devices, such as on a liquid crystal display (LCD) panel. When a user presses the "guide" button on remote control 86, program guide screen 100A is displayed to a user. Program guide screen 100A includes a calendar image 102, date and time indicator 110, guide title 112, channel list 114, and program list 116 having program representations separate from and adjacent to the calendar image 102. Calendar 102 includes masks 104A-104B, highlight bar 106, and data range indicator 108. Using arrow keys on remote control 86 to move a highlight bar through program list 116, a user is able to highlight and then select a particular program for viewing or to obtain additional information" (Col 13, Lines 14-28). The scheduled activity is the user watching a particular television program. The reference does not specifically note that software is use to implement the system. The reference discloses a CPU with memory, which make the use of software inherent.

In regard to claim 19, Finseth et al. incorporates Application Ser. No. 09/535,235 entitled (Now US Pat No 6,754,906) "CATEGORICAL ELECTRONIC PROGRAM GUIDE," filed on same date herewith, by Philip E. Hsiao, Jeffrey A. Brown, and Craig A. Finseth, which meets the claimed limitation of "the processing comprises recording the electronic content." "Command style user-links are the second main type of user-links

Application/Control Number: 09/802,618

Art Unit: 2614

122. These links cause something to happen other than the transferal of program guide information. For example, the user is able to instruct the receiver 64 (see FIG. 3) to tune to the correct channel of transmission, to activate a recording device 68, or to allow the user to place a reminder of an upcoming program. If a user selects automatic recording for an entry, receiver 64 instructs recording device 68 to start recording the desired program at the start of the program, and causes recording device 68 to end recording when the desired program is over. If a reminder is set, the receiver 64 would indicate to the user when the selected upcoming television program was being transmitted. Although these user-links 122 can be displayed as part of the invention categorical electronic program guide 90, the command style user-link can also be listed as choices in an operating menu 124 (shown in FIG. 9). The operating menu 124 is displayed by selecting a "menu" button on remote control 86" (Col 19, Lines 66-67; Col 20, Lines 1-17).

Page 9

In regard to claim 21, the claimed limitation of "generating a GUI for presenting an overview of specific content information available in a time slot in harmony with the calendar" is met by Figure 14. Figure 14 show multiple time slots of the specific content, which also may be a scheduled activity.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/802,618

Art Unit: 2614

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finseth et al.

In regard to claims 9 and 17, the reference fails to explicitly disclose dynamically adjusting the processing upon user interaction. The examiner takes Official Notice that it is notoriously well know in the art to dynamically adjusting the processing upon user interaction so as to the user to conveniently make changes to a system on the fly.

Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement Finseth with dynamically adjusting the processing upon user interaction so as to the user to conveniently make changes to a system on the fly.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finseth et al. in view of Sezan et al. (US Pat No 6,236,395).

In regard to claim 20, Finseth fails to explicitly disclose "playing out at least part of the recorded content information so as to have the played out content information substantially fitting within an available time slot". Sezan teaches "playing out at least part of the recorded content information so as to have the played out content information substantially fitting within an available time slot" so as to provide the user with a condensed version of recorded content so as fit recorded material into the users schedule. "The selection of a particular program analysis technique depends on the amount of readily available data and the user preferences. For example, if a user prefers to watch a 5 minute video highlight of a particular program, such as a basketball game, the analysis module 42 may invoke a knowledge based system 90 (FIG. 3) to

determine the highlights that form the best 5 minute summary." (Col 8, Lines 30-35). Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Finseth with "playing out at least part of the recorded content information so as to have the played out content information substantially fitting within an available time slot" so as to provide the user with a condensed version of recorded content so as fit recorded material into the users schedule.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as follows:
  - The Herding et al. (US Pat No 6,817,028) reference discloses a reduced screen control system for interactive program guide.
  - The Boyer et al. (US Pat Pub No 2002/0026496) discloses an Internet television program guide with reminders.
  - The Finseth et al. (US Pat No 6,754,906) discloses a categorical electronic program guide.
  - Wehmeyer (US Pat No 6,169,543) discloses a system and method for customizing program guide information to include reminers.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Manning whose telephone number is 571-272-7352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 5:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,618 Page 12

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JM March 19, 2005

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600